1	COOLEY LLP BOBBY GHAJAR (198719)	
2	(bghajar@cooley.com) COLETTE GHAZARIAN (322235)	
3	(cghazarian@cooley.com) 1333 2nd Street, Suite 400	
4	Santa Monica, California 90401 Telephone: (310) 883-6400	
5	MARK WEINSTEIN (193043)	
6	(mweinstein@cooley.com) KATHLEEN HARTNETT (314267)	
7	(khartnett@cooley.com) JUDD LAUTER (290945)	
8	(jlauter@cooley.com) ELIZABETH L. STAMESHKIN (260865)	
9	(lstameshkin@cooley.com) 3175 Hanover Street	
10	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Telephone: (650) 843-5000	
11	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON ANGELA L. DUNNING (212047)	LLP
12	(adunning@cgsh.com)	
13	1841 Page Mill Road, Suite 250 Palo Alto, CA 94304	
14	Telephone: (650) 815-4131	
15	[Full Listing on Signature Page] Counsel for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.	
16	Counsel for Defendant Meia I taiforms, Inc.	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
20	RICHARD KADREY, et al.,	Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC-TSH
21	Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,	Unopposed Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Joint Discovery
22	v.	LETTER BRIEF AND EXHIBITS
23	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	
24	Defendant.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(c) and 79-5(d), Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. ("Meta") moves this Court for an Order allowing Meta to file under seal confidential, unredacted versions of certain documents relating to the Parties' Omnibus briefing Re: Existing Written Discovery ("Joint Letter Brief"). Meta respectfully submits that good cause exists for the filing of these documents under seal. The motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and the Declaration of Michelle Woodhouse in support of this Unopposed Administrative Motion to File Under Seal.

The following chart lists the documents for which Meta requests sealing – in whole or in part – in order to protect Meta's confidential business information.

Document	Sealing Request
Joint Letter Brief	Redacted portions
Exhibit B to Declaration of Maxwell V. Pritt ("Pritt Declaration")	Redacted portions
Exhibit D to Pritt Declaration ("Krein Declaration")	Redacted portions
Exhibit 6 to Joint Letter Brief	Redacted portions

A [Proposed] Order is filed concurrently herewith, and Meta refers the Court to the Joint Letter Brief itself and the supporting evidence attached thereto as further support for this Unopposed Administrative Motion.

I. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Though the presumption of public access to judicial proceedings and records is strong, it "is not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns. Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (19787). The Ninth Circuit treats documents "attached to dispositive motions differently from records [*i.e.*, documents] attached to non-dispositive motions." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006); *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp.*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1098 (9th Cir. 2016). For non-dispositive motions, such as the Parties' Joint Letter Brief, the "good cause" standard applies. *OpenTV v. Apple*, No. 14-cv-01622-HSG, 2015 WL 5714851, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2015); *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1180 ("A 'good cause' showing will suffice to seal documents produced in

discovery."). The Federal Rules afford district courts "flexibility in balancing and protecting the interests of private parties." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1180; *DSS Tech. Mgmt. v. Apple*, No. 14-cv-05330-HSG, 2020 WL 210318, at *8 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2020), *aff'd*, 845 F. App'x 963 (Fed. Cir. 2021) (finding good cause to seal "confidential business and proprietary information").

The portions of the Joint Letter Brief, Exhibit B to the Pritt Declaration, the Krein Declaration, and Exhibit 6 to the Joint Letter Brief contain Meta's confidential information, for which Meta requests sealing. Exhibit B to the Pritt Declaration and Exhibit 6 to the Joint Letter Brief consist of excerpts of Meta's responses to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories which contain highly confidential information regarding Meta's Llama models, including highly sensitive technical information. The Krein Declaration also includes detailed technical discussion concerning the Meta's source code for its Llama models, which Meta seeks to redact. The portions of the Joint Letter Brief that Meta seeks to redact quote from or describe the contents of the documents discussed above. Meta must request sealing of these materials, as this information is highly confidential, and Meta takes steps to carefully protect the confidentiality of information of this sort, as disclosure has the potential to cause significant competitive injury to Meta. See, e.g., Krieger v. Atheros Commc'ns, Inc., No. 11-CV-640-LHK, 2011 WL 2550831, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Jun. 25, 2011) (finding information regarding party's "long-term financial projections, discussions of business strategy, and competitive analyses" sealable); Space Data Corp. v. Alphabet Inc., No. 16-CV-03260-BLF, 2019 WL 285799, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 22, 2019) (finding information regarding party's confidential and proprietary technical information, and sensitive financial information sealable). These sealing requests are critical to protect Meta's confidential sensitive technical and competitive information.

The specific basis for sealing these materials is outlined in the accompanying declaration of Meta's Associate General Counsel, Michelle Woodhouse. As outlined in Ms. Woodhouse's declaration, disclosure of the protected information contained in the materials Meta seeks to seal would cause competitive harm to Meta if this information is publicly disclosed. Meta's sealing requests and proposed redactions are narrowly tailored to include only that information which would cause specific, articulable harm, as identified in Ms. Woodhouse's declaration. In each

27

28

instance, the harm to Meta outweighs the public's interest in disclosure. See, e.g., In re iPhone App. Litig., No. 11-md-02250-LHK, 2013 WL 12335013, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2013) (granting motion to seal where the defendant's interest in "maintaining the confidentiality of information about its technology and internal business operations" outweighed that of the public in accessing such documents). II. CONCLUSION Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, as appropriate, redacted and unredacted versions of the above-listed documents accompany this Unopposed Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Meta respectfully requests that the Court grant their Joint Administrative Motion to Seal. 10 Dated: November 9, 2024 COOLEY LLP By: /s/Phillip E. Morton Bobby Ghajar Philip Morton Mark Weinstein Kathleen Hartnett Matthew Brigham Judd Lauter Liz Stameshkin Colette Ghazarian Juan Pablo Gonzalez Cole Poppell LEX LUMINA PLLC Mark A. Lemley CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Angela L. Dunning Attorneys for Defendant Full Counsel List META PLATFORMS, INC. COOLEY LLP PHILLIP MORTON (pro hac vice) (pmorton@cooley.com) 25 COLE A. POPPELL (pro hac vice) (cpoppell@cooley.com) 26 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004-2400

28 COOLEY LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

PALO ALTO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

Telephone:

(202) 842-7800

COOLEY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO